

Exam 2022-2023

Khalil Ghorbal

January 2023

We established a formal link between typed λ -calculi and cartesian closed categories (Curry-Howard-Lambek isomorphism). But what if we remove types? To which structure the pure (untyped) λ -calculus is isomorphic? Let's see!

Recall that a (small) category with one object is a monoid, that is a semigroup with a unitary element. (recall that small means that the class of morphisms between any two elements is a set. It is a technicality that you can drop in what follows.)

0. Describe a small closed cartesian category with only one object (elements and arrows are to be made explicit).

A C-monoid (for Curry or Cartesian) is a monoid \mathcal{M} that is almost a cartesian closed category in the sense that it enjoys similar structure. Technically \mathcal{M} has an extra structure $(\pi, \pi', \epsilon, *, \langle \rangle)$, where π , π' , and ϵ are elements (or nullary operations) of \mathcal{M} , $(-)^*$ is a unary operation and $\langle -, - \rangle$ is a binary operation satisfying the following identities for all a, b, c, h , and k (where composition is denoted by simple concatenation, so ab denotes $a \circ b$):

$$(A1.) \pi \langle a, b \rangle = a$$

$$(A2.) \pi' \langle a, b \rangle = b$$

$$(A3.) \langle \pi c, \pi' c \rangle = c$$

$$(A4.) \epsilon \langle h^* \pi, \pi' \rangle = h$$

$$(A5.) (\epsilon \langle k \pi, \pi' \rangle)^* = k$$

1. Recall briefly what do these axioms refer to in a standard cartesian closed category? (observe that the type subscript is omitted since there exists only one element.)

1.' What's missing to have a full cartesian closed category?

2. Using the above axioms, prove the following consequences (for all a, b, c, h , and k)

$$(A6.) \langle a, b \rangle c = \langle ac, bc \rangle$$

$$(A7.) \langle \pi, \pi' \rangle = 1$$

$$(A8.) \epsilon \langle h^* a, b \rangle = h \langle a, b \rangle$$

$$(A9.) h^* k = (h \langle k \pi, \pi' \rangle)^*$$

$$(A10.) \epsilon^* = 1$$

3. Like we did in cartesian closed categories, let $a \times b$ denote $\langle a \pi, b \pi' \rangle$ and $g^f = (g \epsilon \langle \pi, f \pi' \rangle)^*$.

Prove the following

$$(A11.) (a \times b)(c \times d) = ac \times bd$$

$$(A12.) g^f h = (g \epsilon \langle h \pi, f \pi' \rangle)^*$$

$$(A13.) \quad g^f k^h = (gk)^{(hf)}$$

We can form a category of C-monoids where arrows are morphisms, called C-homomorphisms, that preserve the operations π , π' , $(-)^*$, and $\langle -, - \rangle^*$. Given a monoid \mathcal{M} , we can also form the polynomial C-monoid $\mathcal{M}[x]$ by the usual construction of universal algebra (like we did for cartesian closed category): the elements of $\mathcal{M}[x]$ are polynomials (or words) built up from x and the elements of \mathcal{M} using the C-monoid operations modulo the axioms A1–A5. In particular the mapping $h : \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}[x]$ which sends every element of \mathcal{M} onto the corresponding constant polynomial in $\mathcal{M}[x]$ is a C-homomorphism.

C-monoids have also the property of functional completeness, that is for every polynomial $\varphi(x)$ in the indeterminate x , there exists a unique constant f in \mathcal{M} such that $f \langle (x\pi')^*, 1 \rangle = \varphi(x)$ in $\mathcal{M}[x]$.

Let $\rho_x \varphi(x)$ be defined inductively on the length of the word $\varphi(x)$ by

- (i.) $\rho_x k = k\pi'$ if k is a constant
- (ii.) $\rho_x x = \epsilon$
- (iii.) $\rho_x \langle \psi(x), \xi(x) \rangle = \langle \rho_x \psi(x), \rho_x \xi(x) \rangle$
- (iv.) $\rho_x (\xi(x)\psi(x)) = \rho_x \xi(x) \langle \pi, \rho_x \psi(x) \rangle$
- (v.) $\rho_x (\psi(x)^*) = (\rho_x \psi(x)\alpha)^*$

where $\alpha = \langle \pi\pi, \langle \pi'\pi, \pi' \rangle \rangle$ (I am being a slight informal with the equalities here, since ideally, we would have used two different signs, one for identities over words and one equality over equivalence classes; it won't matter much here, but keep it in mind).

4. As a consequence of functional completeness, prove that if $\varphi(x)$ is a polynomial in the indeterminate x over a C-monoid \mathcal{M} , then there exists a unique g in \mathcal{M} such that $g \wr x = \varphi(x)$ where the binary operator ' \wr ' is as follows $g \wr a = \epsilon \langle g(a\pi')^*, 1 \rangle$.
5. Suppose one writes $\lambda_x \varphi(x)$ for $(\rho_x \varphi(x))^*$, where ρ_x is as above (using the λ -calculus notation). Rephrase (4.) using λ_x .
6. Use the universal property of $\mathcal{M}[x]$ to state the β reduction. (The universal property of $\mathcal{M}[x]$ says that for every C-homomorphism $f : \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$ and every element $B \in \mathcal{B}$, there exists a unique C-homomorphism $f_B : \mathcal{M}[x] \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$ such that $f_B h = f$ and $f_B(x) = B$.)

An interesting feature of C-monoids is that they enjoy the following fixed point theorem.

7. Prove that for every polynomial $\varphi(x)$ in $\mathcal{M}[x]$, there exists an element $a \in \mathcal{M}$ such that $\varphi(a) = a$.
8. Do you think that a C-monoid can incorporate propositional logic? Comment.

Bonus B. Show that in any cartesian closed poset with joins $p \vee q$, the following law of IPC (Intuitionistic Propositional Calculus) holds

$$((p \vee q) \Rightarrow r) \Rightarrow ((p \Rightarrow r) \wedge (q \Rightarrow r))$$

Generalize this result to an arbitrary category (not necessarily poset) by showing that there is always an arrow of the corresponding form.

Bonus BB. A functor $F : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$ is *essentially surjective on objects* if for all $B \in \mathcal{B}$, there exists $A \in \mathcal{A}$ such that $F(A) \cong B$. Prove that a functor is an equivalence if and only if it is faithful, full, and essentially surjective on objects (restrict to small categories).